



THE COGNITIVE IMPACT OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE: ANALYZING TRENDS IN MENTAL PROCESSING AND CRITICAL THINKING

*Abu-Jassar Amer Tahseen, Dr., Amman Arab University,
Information Technology College, Amman, Jordan*

Abdullah Sarhan, student, Amman Arab University, Amman, Jordan

Abstract. *This report examines the psychological and cognitive implications of widespread artificial intelligence integration. It focuses on the phenomenon of "cognitive offloading" and its relationship to the decline in critical thinking and memory retention. The paper discusses the balance between technological utility and the preservation of human analytical skills, emphasizing the need for educational frameworks that encourage active engagement over passive consumption.*

Keywords: *trend, cognitive impact, artificial intelligence, educational frameworks, technological utility.*

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has moved beyond a functional tool to an integrated cognitive partner, capable of simulating complex human reasoning and decision-making. While its benefits in efficiency and data processing are well-documented, its influence on the human mind remains a subject of intense debate. Recent trends suggest that heavy reliance on AI-driven systems may be altering the way individuals process information, leading to a potential slowing of independent thought and an erosion of critical problem-solving abilities [1].

Cognitive offloading occurs when an individual uses an external tool to reduce the mental demand of a task. AI facilitates this at an unprecedented scale:

- memory Retention: Research indicates that when AI generates information, the human brain is less likely to engage in "deep encoding", the process required to move information into long-term memory;

- neural Plasticity: Just as physical muscles atrophy without exercise, neural pathways associated with logical deduction and linguistic phrasing can weaken when these tasks are consistently outsourced to algorithms [2].

A significant concern in the current technological landscape is the rise of "metacognitive laziness". This refers to a tendency for users to accept AI-generated outputs without verifying their accuracy or logic:

- automation Bias: Users often develop a psychological preference for automated suggestions, which can lead to a "flattening" of thought where nuanced or unconventional ideas are replaced by the "average" consensus provided by the AI;

- decision Paralysis: The abundance of AI-provided data can paradoxically make it harder for individuals to make independent choices, as they become dependent on an algorithmic "nudge" to reach a conclusion [3].

The integration of AI in education poses a "cognitive paradox". While it can personalize learning, it may also discourage the "productive struggle" necessary for intellectual growth:

- educational Regulation: Similar to the regulation of AI in space or national security, there is an urgent need for frameworks that dictate how AI is used in



classrooms to ensure it remains a supplement to, rather than a replacement for, human thought;

– the age factor: Studies suggest that younger users, whose cognitive frameworks are still developing, are more susceptible to the negative effects of AI dependence [4].

AI is a transformative technology that offers immense potential for human advancement. However, to ensure that this progress does not come at the cost of human cognitive decline, a balance must be struck. International cooperation and ethical guidelines should not only focus on the safety of the AI itself but also on the preservation of human intellectual autonomy. Encouraging "AI literacy" – the ability to use these tools critically and sparingly – is essential for shaping a future where technology enhances rather than replaces the human mind [5].

References

1. Hooper, V.J. (2025). Cognitive offloading and the reshaping of human thought: The subtle influence of Artificial Intelligence. *Academic Journal of Culture and Thought*, 12, 01-14. <https://doi.org/10.31207/colloquia.v12i1.185>.
2. Nguyen, T. (2024). ChatGPT in medical education: a precursor for automation bias?. *JMIR Medical Education*, 10, e50174. <https://doi.org/10.2196/50174>.
3. Ruiz-Rojas, L. I., Salvador-Ullauri, L., & Acosta-Vargas, P. (2024). Collaborative working and critical thinking: Adoption of generative artificial intelligence tools in higher education. *Sustainability*, 16(13), 5367. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su16135367>.
4. Wang, X., & Long, L. (2025). The innovation paradox in human-AI symbiosis: ambidextrous effects of AI technology adoption on innovative behavior. *Frontiers in Artificial Intelligence*, 8, 1635246. <https://doi.org/10.3389/frai.2025.1635246>.
5. Eskandar, K. (2025). The digital trap: unraveling the neuropsychological impact of technology addiction. *Neuropsychological Trends*, 37, 7-19. <https://doi.org/10.7358/neur-2025-037-eska>.