

Optimizing Airborne Object Detection of Secondary Surveillance Radar in Intra-System Interference Conditions

Iryna Svyd, Ivan Obod, Oleksandr Maltsev, Ganna Zavalodko
Department of Microprocessor Technologies and Systems
Kharkiv National University of Radio Electronics
Kharkiv, Ukraine

iryna.svyd@nure.ua, ivan.obod@nure.ua, aleksandr.maltsev@nure.ua, ganna.zavalodko@nure.ua

I. Svyd, I. Obod, O. Maltsev and G. Zavalodko, "Optimizing Airborne Object Detection of Secondary Surveillance Radar in Intra-System Interference Conditions," *2021 IEEE 16th International Conference on the Experience of Designing and Application of CAD Systems (CADSM)*, Lviv, Ukraine, 2021, pp. 33-37, doi: 10.1109/CADSM52681.2021.9385224.

<https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/9385224>

DOI: [10.1109/CADSM52681.2021.9385224](https://doi.org/10.1109/CADSM52681.2021.9385224)

Abstract—Secondary surveillance radar (SSR) refers to the main sources of information support for both the airspace control system and air traffic control and is an open two-channel requesting system for transmitting an aerial object (AO) request signal, and response signal (RS) to the requester. The principle of constructing an aircraft responder (AR) (an open single-channel queuing system with refuses) and SSR as a whole (asynchronous information network) predetermined a significant density of intra-system interference both in the request channel and in the response channel. It also provided a possibility for an interested party to use an AR through an unauthorized request both to obtain on-board information and to paralyze aircraft responders by emitting request signals of the required intensity, which significantly reduces the quality of its work. The paper presents the synthesis and analysis of the optimal, based on the Neumann-Pearson criterion, the detector of air objects by the secondary surveillance radar in the conditions of the action of in-system and deliberate correlated and uncorrelated interference in the request and response channel. The detection of a burst of RSs in the SSR is reduced to a comparison with the threshold of the sum of the number of RSs with one detected pulse, and the RSs taken with a weight coefficient of the number of response codes with two detected pulses, which leads to an increase in the probability of detecting AO. The weight coefficient value shows how much, when an airborne object is detected, the RS from two detected pulses is more valuable than the RS from one detected pulse.

Keywords—secondary surveillance radar, request signal, response signal, signal aircraft responder, aerial object, availability factor.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Stevens, "New developments in secondary-surveillance radar," in *Electronics and Power*, vol. 31, no. 6, pp. 463-466, June 1985, doi: 10.1049/ep.1985.0286.
- [2] J. Franz, M. Weber, G. Hatke and L. Wood, "Secondary surveillance phased array radar (SSPAR)," *2014 Integrated Communications, Navigation and Surveillance Conference (ICNS) Conference Proceedings*, Herndon, VA, 2014, pp. O2-1-O2-12, doi: 10.1109/ICNSurv.2014.6820007.
- [3] Armed Forces of the United States, "Joint Airspace Control. Joint Publication 3-52", United States. Joint Chiefs of Staff, 2014.
- [4] Y. Almathami and R. Ammar, "Controlled Airspace infringements and warning system," *2016 IEEE International Symposium on Signal Processing and Information Technology (ISSPIT)*, Limassol, 2016, pp. 45-50, doi: 10.1109/ISSPIT.2016.7886007.
- [5] P. Hubacek and J. Vesely, "Probabilistic code extractor for low SNR SIF/IFF mode A, C respond," *2016 17th International Radar Symposium (IRS)*, Krakow, 2016, pp. 1-4, doi: 10.1109/IRS.2016.7497367.
- [6] Y. Zhang, B. Li and S. Li, "A new algorithm of encryption in non-cooperation IFF system," *2008 Fourth International Conference on Wireless Communication and Sensor Networks*, Allahabad, 2008, pp. 129-130, doi: 10.1109/WCSN.2008.4772696.
- [7] T. Lutnaes, *Probability of Positive Identification with an IFF E-scan System*. Uppsala University, Disciplinary Domain of Science and Technology, Technology, Department of Engineering Sciences, Solid State Electronics, 2018, p. 78.
- [8] G. Jiang and Y. Fan, "A Method for Analyzing the Impact of Intra-System and Inter-System Interference on DME Based on Queueing Theory", *Sensors*, vol. 19, no. 2, p. 348, 2019. doi: 10.3390/s19020348.
- [9] D. Weeda, L. Ligthart, L. Nieuwkerk, L. Nieuwkerk and D. van der Klein, "Quantitative Estimation of Secondary Surveillance Radar Information", *Journal of Navigation*, vol. 45, no. 1, pp. 26-35, 1992. doi: 10.1017/s0373463300010444.
- [10] M. Wu, S. Xie, Y. Liu and Y. Lei, "Behavioral Modeling and EMI Analysis for Secondary Surveillance Radar System", *ICECC '12*:

Proceedings of the 2012 International Conference on Electronics, Communications and Control, pp. 2300-2303, 2012.

- [11] I. Svyd, I. Obod, G. Zavolodko and O. Maltsev, "Interference immunity of aircraft responders in secondary surveillance radars," *2018 14th International Conference on Advanced Trends in Radioelectronics, Telecommunications and Computer Engineering (TCSET)*, Slavske, 2018, pp. 1174-1178, doi: 10.1109/TCSET.2018.8336404.
- [12] T. Otsuyama, J. Naganawa, J. Honda and H. Miyazaki, "Measuring Signal Environment in the Aircraft Surveillance Frequency by Flight Experiments," *2018 International Symposium on Electromagnetic Compatibility (EMC EUROPE)*, Amsterdam, 2018, pp. 44-47, doi: 10.1109/EMCEurope.2018.8485178.
- [13] J. Honda, Y. Kakubari and T. Otsuyama, "Estimation of 1090 MHz signal environment on airport surface by using multilateration system," *2018 International Applied Computational Electromagnetics Society Symposium (ACES)*, Denver, CO, 2018, pp. 1-2, doi: 10.23919/ROPACES.2018.8364281.
- [14] J. Honda and T. Otsuyama, "Statistical Analysis of 1090 MHz Signals Measured During a Flight Experiment," *2018 International Symposium on Antennas and Propagation (ISAP)*, Busan, Korea (South), 2018, pp. 1-2.
- [15] E. M. Valovage, "A method to measure the 1090 MHz interference environment," *2009 Integrated Communications, Navigation and Surveillance Conference*, Arlington, VA, 2009, pp. 1-8, doi: 10.1109/ICNSURV.2009.5172866.
- [16] M. Zolanvari, R. Jain and T. Salman, "Potential Data Link Candidates for Civilian Unmanned Aircraft Systems: A Survey," *IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials*, vol. 22, no. 1, pp. 292-319, Firstquarter 2020.
- [17] D. Pavlova, G. Zavolodko, I. Obod, I. Svyd, O. Maltsev and L. Saikivska, "Optimizing Data Processing in Information Networks of Airspace Surveillance Systems," *2019 10th International Conference on Dependable Systems, Services and Technologies (DESSERT)*, Leeds, United Kingdom, 2019, pp. 136-139, doi: 10.1109/DESSERT.2019.8770022.
- [18] G. Jiang, Y. Fan and H. Yuan, "Assessing the Capacity of Air Traffic Control Secondary Surveillance Radar System," *2019 Cross Strait Quad-Regional Radio Science and Wireless Technology Conference (CSQRWC)*, Taiyuan, China, 2019, pp. 1-3, doi: 10.1109/CSQRWC.2019.8799146.
- [19] I. Svyd, I. Obod, O. Maltsev, I. Shtykh, G. Zavolodko and G. Maistrenko, "Model and Method for Request Signals Processing of Secondary Surveillance Radar," *2019 IEEE 15th International Conference on the Experience of Designing and Application of CAD Systems (CADSM)*, Polyana, Ukraine, 2019, pp. 1-4, doi: 10.1109/CADSM.2019.8779347.
- [20] I. Obod, I. Svyd, O. Maltsev and B. Bakumenko, "Comparative Analysis of Noise Immunity Systems Identification Friend or Foe," *2020 IEEE 40th International Conference on Electronics and Nanotechnology (ELNANO)*, Kyiv, Ukraine, 2020, pp. 751-756, doi: 10.1109/ELNANO50318.2020.9088856.
- [21] I. Svyd, I. Obod, O. Maltsev and A. Hlushchenko, "Secondary Surveillance Radar Response Channel Information Security Improvement Method," *2020 IEEE 11th International Conference on Dependable Systems, Services and Technologies (DESSERT)*, Kyiv, Ukraine, 2020, pp. 341-345, doi: 10.1109/DESSERT50317.2020.9125018.
- [22] Á. Jarama, J. López-Araquistain, G. Miguel and J. Besada, "Complete Systematic Error Model of SSR for Sensor Registration in ATC Surveillance Networks", *Sensors*, vol. 17, no. 10, p. 2171, 2017. doi: 10.3390/s17102171.
- [23] I. Svyd, O. Maltsev, I. Obod and G. Zavolodko, "Fusion Method of Primary Surveillance Radar Data and IFF systems Data," *2020 IEEE 11th International Conference on Dependable Systems, Services and Technologies (DESSERT)*, Kyiv, Ukraine, 2020, pp. 336-340, doi: 10.1109/DESSERT50317.2020.9125040.
- [24] X. Du, K. Liao and X. Shen, "Secondary Radar Signal Processing Based on Deep Residual Separable Neural Network," *2020 IEEE International Conference on Power, Intelligent Computing and Systems (ICPICS)*, Shenyang, China, 2020, pp. 12-16, doi: 10.1109/ICPICS50287.2020.9202372.
- [25] I. Svyd, I. Obod, O. Maltsev, I. Shtykh, G. Maistrenko and G. Zavolodko, "Comparative Quality Analysis of the Air Objects Detection by the Secondary Surveillance Radar," *2019 IEEE 39th International Conference on Electronics and Nanotechnology (ELNANO)*, Kyiv, Ukraine, 2019, pp. 724-727, doi: 10.1109/ELNANO.2019.8783539.