

Optimization of the Quality of Information Support for Consumers of Cooperative Surveillance Systems



Ivan Obod , Iryna Svyd , Oleksandr Maltsev , Oleksandr Vorgul ,
Galyna Maistrenko , and Ganna Zavolodko

Abstract The paper discusses the place and the role of cooperative airspace surveillance systems in the information support of airspace use and air traffic control systems. A brief description of the signals used in the considering systems is given. Based on the presentation of cooperative surveillance systems as two-channel data transmission systems, the statistical interpretation of consumer data transmission is considered and it is shown that the probability of information support can be an integral quality indicator of consumers information support in the considered systems. That is defined as the product of the probability of detecting the request signals by the aircraft responder, aircraft responder availability factor, probability of detection of an air object by the requester, the probability of correct reception of on-board information and the probability of combining the flight and coordinate information. The variants for optimization each of the components of these probabilities are considered. The optimization issues of measurement parameters of signals in cooperative observation systems, which determine the probability of combining flight and coordinate information, are also considered.

Keywords Traffic control · Cooperative surveillance systems · Secondary surveillance radar · Automatic dependent surveillance · Multilateration · Wide area multilateration · Air object

I. Obod · I. Svyd (✉) · O. Maltsev · O. Vorgul · G. Maistrenko
Kharkiv National University of Radio Electronics, Nauky Ave. 14, 61166 Kharkiv, Ukraine
e-mail: iryna.svyd@nure.ua

G. Zavolodko
National Technical University “KhPI”, Kyrpychova Str. 2, 61002 Kharkiv, Ukraine

© The Editor(s) (if applicable) and The Author(s), under exclusive license to Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2021 133

T. Radivilova et al. (eds.), *Data-Centric Business and Applications*, Lecture Notes on Data Engineering and Communications Technologies 48,
https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-43070-2_8

threshold in accordance with rule (36). Likewise, for the optimal estimate (30) to be achieved, the likelihood ratio value must be sent from the detector to the measuring device.

References

1. Farina A, Studer F (1993) Digital processing of radar information. Radio i svyaz, Moscow
2. Obod I, Strelnitskyi O, Andrusevich V (2015) Informational network of aerospace surveillance systems. KhNURE, Kharkov
3. Ueda T, Shiomi K, Ino M, Imamiya K (1998) Passive secondary surveillance radar system for satellite airports and local ATC facilities. In: 43rd annual air traffic control association. Air Traffic Control Association, Atlantic City, pp 20–24
4. Skolnik M (2008) Radar handbook, 3rd edn. McGraw-Hill, New York
5. Lynn P (1989) Radar systems. Springer, U.S. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4613-1579-7>
6. Stevens M (1988) Secondary surveillance radar. Artech House, Norwood
7. Kim E, Sivits K (2015) Blended secondary surveillance radar solutions to improve air traffic surveillance. Aerosp Sci Technol 45:203–208
8. Obod I, Svyd I, Shtykh I (2014) Interference protection of questionable airspace surveillance systems: monograph. KhNURE, Kharkiv
9. Svyd I, Obod I, Zavolodko G, Maltsev O (2018) Interference immunity of aircraft responders in secondary surveillance radars. In: 2018 14th international conference on advanced trends in radioelectronics, telecommunications and computer engineering (TCSET). IEEE, pp 1174–1178. <https://doi.org/10.1109/tcset.2018.8336404>
10. Svyd I, Obod I, Maltsev O, Shtykh I, Zavolodko G, Maistrenko G (2019) Model and method for request signals processing of secondary surveillance radar. In: 2019 IEEE 15th international conference on the experience of designing and application of CAD systems (CADSM). IEEE, pp 1–4. <https://doi.org/10.1109/cadsm.2019.8779347>
11. Svyd I, Obod I, Maltsev O, Shtykh I, Maistrenko G, Zavolodko G (2019) Comparative quality analysis of the air objects detection by the secondary surveillance radar. In: 2019 IEEE 39th international conference on electronics and nanotechnology (ELNANO). IEEE, pp 724–727. <https://doi.org/10.1109/elnano.2019.8783539>
12. Obod I, Svyd I, Maltsev O, Maistrenko G, Zubkov O, Zavolodko G (2019) Bandwidth assessment of cooperative surveillance systems. In: 2019 3rd international conference on advanced information and communications technologies (AICT). IEEE, pp 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.1109/aiact.2019.8847742>
13. Svyd I, Obod I, Maltsev O, Tkachova T, Zavolodko G (2019) Optimal request signals detection in cooperative surveillance systems. In: 2019 IEEE 2nd Ukraine conference on electrical and computer engineering (UKRCON). IEEE, pp 1–5. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ukrcon.2019.8879840>
14. Siergiejczyk M, Krzykowska K, Rosiński A (2014) Reliability assessment of cooperation and replacement of surveillance systems in air traffic. In: Proceedings of the ninth international conference on dependability and complex systems DepCoS-RELCOMEX. June 30–July 4 2014, Brunów, Poland, pp 403–411. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-07013-1_39
15. Jackson D (2016) Ensuring honest behaviour in cooperative surveillance systems. The Centre for Doctoral Training in Cyber Security, Oxford
16. Ramasamy S, Sabatini R, Gardi A (2016) Cooperative and non-cooperative sense-and-avoid in the CNS + A context: a unified methodology. In: 2016 international conference on unmanned aircraft systems (ICUAS)
17. Bloisi D, Iocchi L, Nardi D, Fiorini M, Graziano G (2012) Ground traffic surveillance system for air traffic control. In: 2012 12th international conference on ITS telecommunications. IEEE, pp 135–139. <https://doi.org/10.1109/itst.2012.6425151>

18. Ahmadi Y, Mohamedpour K, Ahmadi M (2010) Deinterleaving of interfering radars signals in identification friend or foe systems. In: 18th Telecommunications forum Telfor, Telecommunications Society. Belgrade: ETF School of EE, University in Belgrade, IEEE Serbia & Montenegro COM CHAPTER, pp 729–733
19. Li W, Wei P, Xiao X (2009) A robust TDOA-based location method and its performance analysis. *Sci China Ser F: Inf Sci* 52(5):876–882
20. Trofimova Y (2007) Multilateration error investigation and classification error estimation. *Transp Telecommun* 8(2):28–37
21. Gaviria I (2013) New strategies to improve multilateration systems in the air traffic control. Editorial Universitat Politècnica de València, Valencia
22. Naganawa J, Miyazaki H, Tajima H (2017) Detection probability estimation model for wide area multilateration. In: 2017 integrated communications, navigation and surveillance conference (ICNS). IEEE, pp 2B1-1–2B1-15. <https://doi.org/10.1109/icnsurv.2017.8011897>
23. Alia L, Italiano A, Pozzi F (2014) Advanced tools to analyze the expected performance of multilateration and wide area multilateration. In: 2014 Tyrrhenian international workshop on digital communications—enhanced surveillance of aircraft and vehicles (TIWDC/ESAV). IEEE, pp 82–86. doi:TIWDC-ESAV.2014.6945453
24. Naganawa J, Miyazaki H, Tajima H (2018) Localization accuracy model incorporating signal detection performance for wide area multilateration. In: IEEE transactions on aerospace and electronic systems, pp 1–1. <https://doi.org/10.1109/tvt.2017.2699176>
25. Garcia M, Dolan J, Hoag A (2017) Aireon’s initial on-orbit performance analysis of space-based ADS-B. In: 2017 integrated communications, navigation and surveillance conference (ICNS), pp 1–28. <https://doi.org/10.1109/icnsurv.2017.8011994>
26. Revels M, Ciampa M (2018) Can software defined radio be used to compromise ADS-B aircraft transponder signals? *J Transp Secur* 11(1–2):41–52. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12198-018-0188-y>
27. Naganawa J, Miyazaki H, Otsuyama T, Honda J (2018) Initial results on narrowband air-ground propagation channel modeling using opportunistic ADS-B measurement for coverage design. In: 2018 integrated communications, navigation, surveillance conference (ICNS). IEEE, pp 4F3-1–4F3-10 <https://doi.org/10.1109/icnsurv.2018.8384895>
28. Globus I (1972) Binary coding in asynchronous systems. *Svyaz*, Moscow
29. Kryvinska N (2010) Converged network service architecture: a platform for integrated services delivery and interworking. Electronic Business series, vol 2. International Academic Publishers, Peter Lang Publishing Group
30. Kryvinska N (2008) An analytical approach for the modeling of real-time services over IP network. *Math Comput Simul* 79(4):980–990. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matcom.2008.02.016>
31. Kryvinska N (2004) Intelligent network analysis by closed queuing models. *Telecommun Syst* 27:85–98. <https://doi.org/10.1023/B:TELS.0000032945.92937.8f>
32. Ageyev D, Al-Anssari A (2014) Optimization model for multi-time period LTE network planning. In: Proceedings of the 2014 first international scientific-practical conference problems of infocommunications science and technology (PIC S&T’2014). Kharkov, Ukraine: IEEE, pp 29–30. <https://doi.org/10.1109/infocommst.2014.6992288>
33. Al-Dulaimi A, Al-Dulaimi M, Asevev D (2016) Realization of resource blocks allocation in LTE downlink in the form of nonlinear optimization. In: 2016 13th international conference on modern problems of radio engineering, telecommunications and computer science (TCSET). IEEE, pp 646–648. <https://doi.org/10.1109/tcset.2016.7452140>
34. Pereverzev A, Ageyev D (2013) Design method access network radio over fiber. In: 2013 12th international conference on the experience of designing and application of CAD systems in microelectronics (CADSM), Polyana Svalyava: IEEE, pp 288–292
35. Ageyev D et al (2018) Classification of existing virtualization methods used in telecommunication networks. In: Proceedings of the 2018 IEEE 9th international conference on dependable systems, services and technologies (DESSERT), pp 83–86

36. Ageyev D, Al-Ansari A (2015) LTE RAN and services multi-period planning. In: 2015 second international scientific-practical conference problems of infocommunications science and technology (PIC S&T). Kharkov, Ukraine: IEEE, pp 272–274. <https://doi.org/10.1109/infocommst.2015.7357334>
37. Radivilova T, Kirichenko L, Ageiev D, Bulakh V (2020) The methods to improve quality of service by accounting secure parameters. In: Hu Z, Petoukhov S, Dychka I, He M (eds) Advances in computer science for engineering and education II. ICCSEEA 2019. Advances in intelligent systems and computing, vol 938. Springer, Cham
38. Bondarenko O, Ageyev D, Mohammed O (2019) Optimization model for 5G network planning. In: 2019 IEEE 15th international conference on the experience of designing and application of CAD systems (CADSM). IEEE, pp 1–4. <https://doi.org/10.1109/cadsm.2019.8779298>
39. Kirichenko L, Radivilova T, Bulakh V (2020) Binary classification of fractal time series by machine learning methods. In: Lytvynenko V, Babichev S, Wójcik W, Vynokurova O, Vyshe-myrskaya S, Radetskaya S (eds) Lecture notes in computational intelligence and decision making. ISDMCI 2019. Advances in intelligent systems and computing, vol 1020. Springer, Cham
40. Kirichenko L, Radivilova T, Bulakh V (2018) Machine learning in classification time series with fractal properties. Data 4(1):5. <https://doi.org/10.3390/data4010005>
41. Kirichenko L, Radivilova T, Bulakh V (2018) Classification of fractal time series using recurrence plots. In: 2018 international scientific-practical conference problems of infocommunications. Science and technology (PIC S&T). IEEE, pp 719–724. <https://doi.org/10.1109/infocommst.2018.8632010>
42. Kryvinska N, Zinterhof P, van Thanh D (2007) An analytical approach to the efficient real-time events/services handling in converged network environment. In: Enokido T, Barolli L, Takizawa M (eds) Network-based information systems. NBIS 2007. Lecture notes in computer science, vol 4658. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg
43. Kryvinska N, Zinterhof P, van Thanh D (2007) New-emerging service-support model for converged multi-service network and its practical validation. In: First international conference on complex, intelligent and software intensive systems (CISIS'07). IEEE, pp 100–110. <https://doi.org/10.1109/cisis.2007.40>
44. Obod I, Svyd I, Maltsev O, Vorgul O, Maistrenko G, Zavolodko G (2018) Optimization of data transfer in cooperative surveillance systems. In: 2018 international scientific-practical conference problems of infocommunications. Science and technology (PIC S&T). IEEE, pp 539–542. <https://doi.org/10.1109/infocommst.2018.8632134>
45. Svyd I, Obod I, Maltsev O, Vorgul O, Zavolodko G, Goriushkina A (2018) Noise immunity of data transfer channels in cooperative observation systems: comparative analysis. In: 2018 international scientific-practical conference problems of infocommunications. Science and technology (PIC S&T). IEEE, pp 509–512. <https://doi.org/10.1109/infocommst.2018.8632019>
46. Pavlova D, Zavolodko G, Obod I, Svyd I, Maltsev O, Saikivska L (2019) Comparative analysis of data consolidation in surveillance networks. In: 2019 10th international conference on dependable systems, services and technologies (DESSERT). IEEE, pp 140–143. <https://doi.org/10.1109/dessert.2019.8770008>
47. Pavlova D, Zavolodko G, Obod I, Svyd I, Maltsev O, Saikivska L (2019). Optimizing data processing in information networks of airspace surveillance systems. In: 2019 10th international conference on dependable systems, services and technologies (DESSERT). IEEE, pp 136–139. <https://doi.org/10.1109/dessert.2019.8770022>